reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is: 2840 Keystone Circle Corona, CA 92882.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. The aforementioned documents were not returned by the U.S. Postal Service. The domestic return receipt card for the certified mail reflects that the documents were delivered and signed for by "Patel."
  - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 3758.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 3758, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3758, are separately and severally true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1,067.50 as of January 13, 2011.

#### **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Nikin J. Patel has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 78009 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case.:
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) of the Code in that on or about June 14, 2009, Respondent used a controlled substance, marijuana. On or about August 26, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Nikin J. Patel*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number RIM536416, Respondent pled guilty to a charge of violating Health and Safety code section 11357(b), less than an ounce of marijuana, a misdemeanor, and was granted deferred entry of judgment pursuant to Penal Code section 1000.
- b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) for violation of the Pharmacy Act in that on or about June 14, 2009, Respondent furnished to himself and possessed a controlled substance, marijuana, in violation of Code sections 4059 and 4060.
- c. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) of the Code in that on or about June 14, 2009, Respondent violated the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Health and Safety Code 11000, et seq.).
- d. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(l) of the Code in that on or about January 15, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Nikin J. Patel*, in Riverside Superior Court case number RIM538540, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving under the influence of alcohol, and Vehicle Code section 23152(b), driving while having a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or more, with an enhancement for driving

with a BAC of .15 or more, within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578, crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician.

- e. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 4301(h) of the Code in that he used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself or others.
- f. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(l) of the Code in that on or about February 26, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Nikin J. Patel*, in Riverside Superior Court case number RIF153503, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violation of Health and Safety Code section 11359, possession of marijuana for sale, a felony, a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician.
- g. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) for violation of the Pharmacy Act in that on or about September 3, 2009, Respondent illegally furnished and possessed a controlled substance, marijuana, in violation of Code sections 4059 and 4060.
- h. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) of the Code in that on or about September 3, 2009, Respondent violated the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Health and Safety Code 11000, et seq.).

20 | ///

21 | ///

22 | ///

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 || ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

# **ORDER** IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 78009, heretofore issued to Respondent Nikin J. Patel, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on April 15, 2011. It is so ORDERED March 16, 2011. (. Wussi STANLEY C. WEISSER, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	Edmund G. Brown Jr.
	Attorney General of California
2	LINDA K.SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	NICOLE R. COOK  Deputy Attorney General
4	State Bar No. 263607 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2143 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
.	STATE OF CALLS
11	Case No. 3758
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
13	NIKIN J. PATEL 2840 Keystone Circle ACCUSATION
14	Corona, CA 92882
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 78009
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	<u>PARTIES</u>
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
. 21 .	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
22	2. On or about June 2, 2008, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
23	Registration Number TCH 78009 to Nikin J. Patel (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician
24	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and
25	will expire on July 31, 2011, unless renewed.
26	///
27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
28	
-	1

### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

## STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
  - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,

and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4059 of the Gode provides in part that a person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.

#### 10. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

#### 11. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter,

or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

• • • •

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

. . .

(l) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
- 12. Health and Safety Code section 11350 provides that every person who possesses a controlled substance, unless upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian, licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison.

27 ///

24

25

26

28

| | ///

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

- 14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
- (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
  - (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
  - (2) Total criminal record.
  - (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
  - (4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
  - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.

#### COST RECOVERY

15. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### **DRUG**

16. Marijuana is a Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054; subdivision (d)(13), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 4022.

/// ///

10 11

9

1213

1415

16

1718

19 20

21

2223

2425

26 27

28

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Unprofessional Conduct-Use of a Controlled Substance)

- 17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) of the Code in that he used a controlled substance, marijuana. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about June 14, 2009, officers from the Corona Police Department responded to a call from a security guard in reference to subjects smoking marijuana and drinking beer in the parking lot of Barnes and Noble bookstore in Corona. The security guard reported to officers that after he observed suspicious activity of a white Honda Civic, he started monitoring and recording the vehicle with his surveillance cameras. The security guard also reported that he observed the vehicle park, Respondent and Respondent's two friends exit the vehicle and "take hits" off of a pipe, then get back into the vehicle and drive to the back of the theatre where they parked and shared drinks from a bottle of beer. Officers then approached Respondent's friends who stated that Respondent was inside the theatre watching a movie. Another officer contacted Respondent via cell phone and asked Respondent if there was anything illegal or dangerous in his vehicle. Respondent stated that there was only a glass pipe that they used to smoke marijuana but refused to exit the movie theatre and give consent to search the vehicle. Officers then deployed a K-9 dog, which alerted officers to the bottom edge of the front passenger's door. Respondent was then re-contacted via telephone by the officers. Respondent exited the theatre and his vehicle was searched. Officers located a multi-colored glass smoking pipe along with a green prescription bottle which contained marijuana. Respondent admitted to officers that the bottle contained marijuana and that it belonged to him. Respondent also admitted that he smoked marijuana with his friends in front of the Barnes and Noble. Respondent was arrested and then released with a citation.
- b. On or about August 26, 2009, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Nikin J. Patel*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number RIM536416, Respondent plead guilty to a charge of violating Health and Safety code section 11357(b), less than an ounce of marijuana, a misdemeanor, and was granted deferred entry of judgment. On

January 15, 2010 and February 26, 2010, Respondent filed proof of enrollment in a drug diversion program pursuant to Penal Code section 1000 with the court.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Unprofessional Conduct-Violations of the Chapter)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) for violation of the Pharmacy Act in that on or about June 14, 2009, Respondent furnished to himself and possessed a controlled substance, marijuana, in violation of Code sections 4059 and 4060 as is more fully described in Paragraph 17 above.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Unprofessional Conduct-Violating Laws Regulating Controlled Substances)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) of the Code in that on or about June 14, 2009, Respondent violated the California Uniform Controlled Substances

Act (Health and Safety Code 11000, et seq.) as is more fully described in Paragraph 17 above.

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (January 15, 2010 Conviction for DUI on July 8, 2009)

- 20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(l) of the Code in that he was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about January 15, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Nikin J. Patel*, in Riverside Superior Court case number RIM538540, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving under the influence of alcohol, and Vehicle Code section 23152(b), driving while having a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or more, with an enhancement for driving with a BAC of .15 or more, within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578.
- b. The circumstances that led to the convictions are that on or about July 8, 2009, California Highway Patrol officers observed a gold Lexus traveling at approximately 78 miles per hour and weaving on highway 91. The officers initiated a traffic stop, approached the vehicle and identified Respondent as the driver. While speaking to Respondent, an officer smelled the odor

of alcohol on Respondent's breath. When asked if he had any alcoholic beverages, Respondent admitted that he had 2 beers recently. The officer also observed that Respondent had red and watery eyes and slurred speech. After failing the field sobriety tests, Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol.

c. As a result of his convictions, Respondent was sentenced to serve 15 days in custody, ordered to serve 36 months of summary probation, required to pay all fines, fees and restitution and ordered to attend and complete the First Offender DUI Program.

## FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Use of Alcohol in a Dangerous Manner)

21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 4301(h) of the Code in that he used alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself or others, as set forth in paragraph 20, incorporated herein by reference.

## SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(February 26, 2010 Conviction for Possession of Marijuana for Sale on September 3, 2009)

- 22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301(l) of the Code in that he was convicted of crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about February 26, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Nikin J. Patel*, in Riverside Superior Court case number RIF153503, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violation of Health and Safety Code section 11359, possession of marijuana for sale, a felony.
- b. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about September 3, 2009 officers from the Corona Police Department's Vice/Narcotics Unit and Flex Team served a drug search warrant at Respondent's residence in Corona. When officers arrived at the residence, they saw the main garage door open and Respondent walk from the garage to a white Honda Civic parked in the driveway. The Honda Civic backed out of the driveway, was stopped by officers and Respondent was detained. Officers searched the vehicle and found a cloth bag on the front

passenger floor board containing a clear glass jar with marijuana inside, a black electronic gram scale, and several pieces of torn white plastic consistent with drug packaging. Officers also discovered several text messages on Respondent's cellular phone including "how much for a half" with the response "180," "I need to get high homie where u at," "hey man think I could get 2 grams from you and pay you Tuesday when I get paid?" "I'll be home round 7. You have some? Need a 20 or 2gs," and "gonna need another 20 after work u be around today?"

- c. Officers opened the door to the residence, announced their presence and detained Respondent's father and sister. When an officer explained the search warrant to Respondent's family, Respondent admitted to the officer that police would only find marijuana which he had for personal use. Officers searched Respondent's room and discovered a Lorcin handgun in between the mattress and box spring, a .380 caliber bullet on the dresser, one large electronic gram scale, and a Tupperware container containing a used baggie with marijuana residue in it. Officer's searched the workout room next to Respondent's bedroom and discovered a pay/owe sheet and electronic gram scale. Officers also searched Respondent's vehicle, a blue Mercedes Benz, and discovered cash totaling \$475.00.
- c. Respondent's sister admitted to officers that Respondent sold marijuana and that she "sells marijuana for him" sometimes. Respondent admitted that she had sold marijuana for Respondent at their residence and that she would only sell "Twenties." Respondent was subsequently arrested.
- d. As a result of his conviction, Respondent was sentenced to 90 days in custody, ordered to serve 36 months of formal probation, and required to pay all fines, fees and restitution.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Unprofessional Conduct-Violations of the Chapter)

23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) for violation of the Pharmacy Act in that on or about September 3, 2009, Respondent illegally furnished and possessed a controlled substance, marijuana, in violation of Code sections 4059 and 4060 as is more fully described in Paragraph 22 above.

## EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Unprofessional Conduct-Violating Laws Regulating Controlled Substances)

24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) of the Code in that on or about September 3, 2009, Respondent violated the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act (Health and Safety Code 11000, et seq.) as is more fully described in Paragraph 22 above.

# DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 25. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about February 1, 2005, in a prior criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Nikin J. Patel* in Riverside Superior Court, Case Number RIM462595, Respondent was convicted for violating Penal Code section 415(1), willfully and unlawfully fighting in a public place, a misdemeanor and was ordered to serve 6 days in county jail, required to complete 6 days of the Sheriff's Work Program, ordered to pay all fines, fees and restitution, and ordered to serve 2 years summary probation.
- 26. Complainant further alleges that on or about August 2, 2006, in a prior criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Nikin J. Patel* in Riverside Superior Court, Case Number RIM484951, Respondent was convicted for violating Health and Safety Code section 11357(b), possession of marijuana, and was ordered to pay restitution and fees. On October 9, 2007, the Court granted Respondent's motion to set aside his plea of guilty per Penal Code section 1203.4(a) and the case was dismissed.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 78009, issued to Nikin J. Patel;
- 2. Ordering Nikin J. Patel to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

1	
1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
3	DATED: 1010 henne beech VIRGINA HEROLD
4	Executive Officer
5	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6	Complainant
7	SD2010703218/70392186.doc
8	
9	
10	
11	·
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	-
26	
27	
28	